Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

	1.	Project Number (Assigned by federal unit):
 Project Name: Mungers Project Sponsor: Jim Ro Sponsors Phone # 541-6 Sponsor's E-mail: jroper 	oper GPRA 518-2470	¥ <u>±</u>
8. Project Location (attach p	roject area map)	
	Name and HUC #(if ki	nown): nown): <u>Munger Creek 17100309050218</u>
Township39	_ Range5	Section(s)1
Township	Range	Section(s)
Township	Range	Section(s)
Township	_ Range	Section(s)
Township	Range	Section(s)
Township	Range	Section(s)
Township	_ Range	
Township	Range	Section(s)
d. BLM District Me	edford	e. BLM Resource Area <u>Grants Pass</u>
f. National Forest		g. Forest Service District
h. State / Private / Oth		Yes ⊠ No

9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives: Reduce sediment from this road from flowing into Munger Creek. Munger Creek is a Coho Salmon stream. Prevent Port Orford Cedar root disease from infecting and spreading in Mungers Creek watershed.

10. Project Description: (Provide concise description of project and attach map.) Project is approximately 1.00 miles. Chip seal segments A&B of Mungers Creek road and install 2 cross drain culverts. This road is built through granitic and produces sediment that discharges into Mungers Creek. Surface rock placed on the road washes into the ditch line and into Mungers Creek

June 07, 2001

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

. Coordination of this project with other relate	ed project(s) on adjacent lands?
☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, then describe.	
How does proposed project meet purposes of Improves maintenance of existing infrastructu	
Implements stewardship objectives that enhance for	
Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]	
Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]	
Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]	Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	
Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify):	
Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]	[Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]
Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)] Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]	Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]
Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]	Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]
Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]	
Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:	
l Manager of During A Annual Palacer As (Farmer	4-10-4
. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expect Total Acres:4.8 b. To	otal Miles: _1.00
	People Reached (for environmental education projects):50
No. of Laborer Days:10	
Other (specify):	
. Duration of Project and Estimated Completic	on Date [Sec. 203(b)(2)]: 15 days 6/15/02
5. Target Species Benefited (if applicable):	
Coho Salmon and Steelhead Trout. Port Orford	l Cedar

June 07, 2001 2

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

17.	How	will	cooperative	relationship	s among peop	le that use f	federal la	ands be imp	roved?	[Sec.
2(b)(3)]									

Road provides access to residence and public users. Road would be upgraded reducing need for road grading. Pot hole would be eliminated

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities?

Improves Coho Salmon habitat, reduces risk of Port Orford Cedar root disease, improves public safety. Public has expressed a concern.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

Improves Coho Salmon habitat, water quality, reduces movement of sediment. Protect Port Orford cedar.

20. Status of Project Planning	
a. NEPA Complete: 🔲 Yes 🛛 No b	o. If No, give est. date of completion: 2/1/02
c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
e. Survey & Manage Complete:	☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable
f. DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained:	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
g. DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:	
h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:	☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable
i. Project Design(s) Completed:	✓ Yes ☐ No
i. Troject Design(s) Completed.	2 Te3 2 No
 * DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept.of Fish a State Historic Preservation Officer 21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment 	and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO =
☐ Contract	Federal Workforce
County Workforce	Volunteers
Other (specify):	
— Since (specify).	
22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Mat ☐ Yes ☒ No	terials? (Sec. 204(e)(3))
23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]	
a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$40,00	0
b. Is this a multi-year funding request?	☑ No If yes, then display by fiscal year
c. FY02 Request: \$	f. FY05 Request: \$
d. FY03 Request: \$	g. FY06 Request: \$
e. FY04 Request: \$	

June 07, 2001 3

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution	Requested County Title II Contribution	Other Contributions	Total Available
Item	[Sec. 203(b)(4)]	[Sec. 203(b)(4)]	[Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys	\$500			
25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation	0			
26. Permit Acquisition	0			
27. Project Design & Engineering	\$500			
28. Contract Preparation	\$500			
29. Contract Administration	\$500			
30. Contract Cost		\$40,000		
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies				
33. Monitoring				
34. Other				
35. Project Subtotal				
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multiple year projects)				
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$2,000	\$40,000	\$	\$

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

June 07, 2001

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

39. N	Ionitoring Plan (Sec.203(b)(6)
a.	What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?
b.	How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?
c.	What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?
d	Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)

June 07, 2001 5

Amount: _____